IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 1443 of 2005**

Between:

1 K.Sabitha, w/o K.Sahadev,
H.No.1-1-06, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Ranga Reddy District.
2 B.Mahesh Goud, S/o. B.Krishna Goud,
R/o. Flat No.733, 7th Block, Janapriya Quarters, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Ranga Reddy District.
3 T.Rooparani, w/o T.Suresh Singh,
R/o. Flat No.301, Suguna Vihar, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Ranga Reddy District.

**..... PETITIONER(S)**

AND

1 The Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, Government of A.P., Nampally, Hyderabad.
2 The Deputy Commissioner, Prohibition and Excise, Hyderabad.
3 The Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy District.

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate order writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents herein in proposing to issue IL 17 licence in Premises House No.1-45, Mohan Nagar Main Road, Kothapet to Nagole Road, above Manjunadha Real Estate, Ground Floor and First Floor, Mohan Nagar, L.B.Nagar Municipality, Ranga Reddy District including the residential zone of Mohan Nagar, is contrary to Rule 29 of the Indian Liquor and Foreign Liquor Rules, 1970 without considering the objections raised by the other Local People as wholly illegal, arbitrary, and unlawful apart from being in violation of constitutional rights of petitioners and consequently direct the respondents herein not to issue Licence of IL 17 in the above said premises.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:SMT.KAVITHA GOTTIPATI**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE**

**The Court at the admission stage made the following :**

**ORDER:**

Smt Kavitha Gottipati, learned counsel for the petitioners, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition.

Permission is accorded. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

07.02.2005

**Jsu**

To

1 The Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, Government of A.P., Nampally, Hyderabad.
2 The Deputy Commissioner, Prohibition and Excise, Hyderabad.
3 The Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy District.

4 2 CCs to the G.P. for Excise, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5 2 CD copies.